## Web Appendix:

## Validity of Survey Response Propensity Indicators: a Behavior Genetics Approach

Levente Littvay, Central European University, Budapest, HU

Sebastian Adrian Popa, Central European University, Budapest, HU

Zoltán Fazekas, University of Vienna, Vienna, AT

Social Sciences Quarterly, forthcoming.

	Complete	Deceased	Refusal	Unable to interview	Non- working number	Total
Main Sample	65%	7%	13%	3%	12%	3487
Twin Sample	78%	4%	8%	2%	7%	1914

## **Table 1:** Final Sample Disposition Codes for MIDUS 2

 Table 2: Differences in response propensity indicators within the twin sample

	Non twins	Twins	Monozygotic	Dizygotic
Did not complete SAQ	13%	7.8%	6.2%	7.7%
Did not return recontact info	25%	8.7%	7.6%	9.4%
Did not complete Wave II	17.2%	10.8%	10.54%	11%
Note: p<0.001 are bolde	d.			

MZ Twins				DZ Twins				
	Did not complete SAQ	Completed SAQ	Total		Did not complete SAQ	Completed SAQ	Total	
Did not complete SAQ	8	12	20	Did not complete SAQ	4	21	25	
Completed SAQ	11	313	324	Completed SAQ	17	271	288	
Total	19	325	344	Total	21	292	313	
	Did not return recontact info	Returned recontact info	Total		Did not return recontact info	Returned recontact info	Total	
Did not return recontact info	22	5	27	Did not return recontact info	15	7	22	
Returned recontact info	274	12	286	Returned recontact info	232	17	249	
Total	296	17	313	Total	247	24	271	
	Did not complete Wave II	Completed Wave II	Total		Did not complete Wave II	Completed Wave II	Total	
Did not complete Wave II	5	24	29	Did not complete Wave II	2	15	17	
Completed Wave II	11	210	221	Completed Wave II	9	142	151	
Total	16	234	250	Total	11	157	168	

Table 3: MZ and DZ co-twin cross-tabulations